

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/911,015	07/23/2001	Lucio Dell'Acqua	163-336	3502
47888	7590 12/17/2004	EXAMINER		INER
HEDMAN & COSTIGAN P.C.			MCKANE, ELIZABETH L	
1185 AVENUE OF THE AMERICA NEW YORK, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•		1744	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

O9/911,015

Examiner
Leigh McKane

Applicant(s)

DELL'ACQUA ET AL.

Art Unit

1744

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

THE REPLY FILED 22 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

conditi Examii	on for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued nation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🗵	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have bee 37 CFR (b) above	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee in filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in a, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 November 2004</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. 🛛	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. 🗌 -	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
-	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .
	Claim(s) objected to: NONE.
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 9</u> .
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .
8. 🔲 🗆	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	Other:
	Leigh McKane Primary Examiner
Datent or	Art Unit: 1744

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added claim limitation of a flexible tube and the newly added method claim raise new issues, requiring both further search and further consideration. Moreover, Applicant's arguments are unpersuasive that the claimed apparatus is patentable over the prior art of record. As to Applicant's arguments that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the Examiner notes that there is no requirement that a motivation to make a modification be expressly articulated in a reference. The test for combining references is what the combination of disclosures taken as a whole would suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art. In resimple, 174 USPQ 114 (CCPA 1972); In respective disclosures. In respect, 163 USPQ 545 (CCPA 1969).